IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff/Respondent,

No. CV 13-0738 WJ/LAM CR 09-2626 WJ

NATHAN DON JACK,

v.

Defendant/Movant.

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR DOCUMENTS

THIS MATTER is before the Court on Defendant/Movant's *Motion for Document Entries*12 and 106 in Case No. 1:09-cr-02626-WJ-1 (Doc. 12), filed August 23, 2013. The United States filed a response to the motion on August 29, 2013. [Doc. 13]. Having reviewed the motion, response, and record of the case, the Court **FINDS** that the motion is well-taken and should be **GRANTED**.

In his motion, Defendant/Movant asks for copies of two documents from his underlying criminal case -- Document 12 (Redacted Indictment) and Document 106 (Partial Transcript of Jury Trial on September 15, 2010). [Doc. 12 at 1]. Defendant/Movant states that he was denied Document 106 because one of the clerks in the Clerk's Office told him that it was sealed. Id. at 2. Defendant/Movant states that he needs the document for his claims in his Section 2255 motion. Id. In response, counsel for the United States states that he has looked at the docket sheet for Case No. CR-09-2626 WJ and has found no sealed documents in the case, and that the United States does not object to the release of those documents to Defendant/Movant. [Doc. 13]. The Court has looked

at the docket in the underlying criminal case, as well, and neither of the requested documents is sealed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant/Movant's *Motion for Document*Entries 12 and 106 in Case No. 1:09-cr-02626-WJ-1 (Doc. 12) is GRANTED and the Clerk shall send copies of Documents 12 and 106 from Case No. CR-09-2626 WJ to Defendant/Movant at his address of record.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Lourdes a Martinez LOURDES A. MARTÍNEZ

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE